**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JAN 22 2008

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JOSE NAVARRO-MARIN,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-10120

D.C. No. CR-04-02127-FRZ

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona Frank R. Zapata, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 14, 2008\*\*

Before: HALL, O'SCANNLAIN, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Jose Navarro-Marin appeals from the 57-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for illegal re-entry after deportation in violation of 8

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

U.S.C. § 1326(a). We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Navarro-Marin contends that his sentence is unlawful and unreasonable because the district court judge did not fully appreciate his authority and discretion to depart from the total offense level as calculated under U.S.S.G. § 2L1.2. We disagree. Although the district court judge never specifically stated that he considered adjusting the total offense level, we assume that he was aware of his discretionary authority to depart. *See United States v. Gonzalez-Perez*, 472 F.3d 1158, 1162 (9th Cir. 2007). Moreover, based on the record before us, we cannot say that Navarro-Marin's sentence is unreasonable or unlawful. *See United States v. Mohamed*, 459 F.3d 979, 985-89 (9th Cir. 2006); *see also Gall v. United States*, 128 S. Ct. 586, 597-98 (2007).

## AFFIRMED.